

NOAA
FISHERIES

Northeast
Regional
Office

Proposal for an Omnibus Baseline Amendment

Presentation for Herring Committee

By Melissa Hooper

January 14 ,2014

Proposed Action


NERO develop an omnibus amendment

1. Eliminate gross and net tonnage specifications
 - Most variable of specifications
 - Documentation is costly to get and verify
 - Negligible impact to harvest capacity
2. Remove the one-time upgrade restriction
 - Provide more flexibility and fairness
 - Streamline the replacement process
 - Negligible impact to harvest capacity
- Does not change unique herring and mackerel requirements

Proposed Analysis

- Comparison: baseline fleet vs. current vs. current max vs. future max (for HP, LOA, NT, GT)
 - By fishery and port/state/region
- # vessels that have used one-time upgrade and maxed vs. # vessels used one-time upgrade and not maxed vs. # vessels remaining (for HP, size)
- Cost burden to fishermen and NMFS

Example



Questions for the Committee today

- What other type of analysis do you wish to see in the amendment?

Process and Timeline

Date	Action
August-September 2013 Council meetings	Present plan to Councils
January 2014	Gather input from species committees
January-March 2014	Analyze alternatives and draft amendment
April 2014 Council meetings	Councils adopt draft amendment, hold public info sessions if needed
May 2014	30-day comment period on draft amendment
June 2014 Council meetings	Final Council approval of amendment document
July 2014-December 2014	Proposed and Final rulemaking
May 1, 2015	Final rule effective

Adding Alternatives

- Current alternatives are simple changes that are policy neutral, but still reduce the burden to industry & NMFS.
- Adding alternatives would significantly complicate the analysis. If the Councils wish to add alternatives, we recommend:
 1. Initiate another omnibus action, Council-led, to analyze other alternatives, or,
 2. Use the existing amendment to make policy changes to the baseline program, with Councils as lead.
- In either option, have to weigh against other priorities.



Background

- Most NE limited access fisheries have vessel upgrade restrictions
- Purpose is to limit increases in harvest capacity
- Now have effort controls and Annual Catch Limits (ACLs)
- Upgrade restrictions a burden to industry and NMFS
- Could simplify without undermining conservation

Baseline Workgroup

- Created by NRCC in 2011
- White paper
 - 5 suggestions
 - ANPR
- ANPR published Oct. 5, 2011
- Public comment period ended Dec. 5, 2011

Public Comments

- Total comments = 35
 - Oppose all proposed changes
 - Support removal of all upgrade restrictions
 - Support removing tonnages
 - Support removing one-time upgrade limit
 - Exempt vessels under 30 ft
 - Change to series of size classes
 - Other suggestions